JPRS: 4240

SS: 3013

5 December 1960

ATHEISTIC NOTIONS CONVEYED IN BUDDHIST SCRIPTURES (JOTTINGS TAKEN IN STUDYING)

By Chin Hui

- COMMUNIST CHINA -

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

## DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

This moterial, translated under U.S. Government auspices, is distributed for scholarly uses to repository libraries under a grant/subscription attengation with the Joint Committee on Contemporary China of the American Council of Learned Socialises and the Social Science Research Council. The contents of the material in as way represent the policies, views, or attitudes of the U.S. Government or the other parties to the enangement. Questos regarding participation in this arrangement should be addressed to the Social Science Research Council, 230 Park Avenue, New York 17, New York.

U. S. JOINT PUBLICATIONS RESEARCH SERVICE 1636 COMMECTIGUT AVE., N. W. WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 20000501 14'

## SUBSCRIBING REPOSITORIES

University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona

University of British Columbia Vancouver 8, Canada

State Paper Room British Museum London, W.C. 1, England

Center for Chinese Studies University of California Berkeley 4, California

University of California Berkeley 4, California

Government Publications Room University of California Los Angeles 24, California

University of Chicago Library Chicago 37, Illinois

Librarian, East Asiatic Library Columbia University New York 27, New York

Wason Collection Cornell University Library Ithaca, New York

Council on Foreign Relations 58 East 68th Street
New York 21, New York

Duke University Library Durham, North Carolina

The Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy Tufts University Medford, Massachusetts

Harvard College Library Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

Center for East Asian Studies Harvard University 16 Dunster Street Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

Harvard-Yenching Institute Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

University of Hawaii Honolulu 14, Hawaii

The Hoover Institution Stanford, California

University of Illinois Library Urbana, Illinois

Indiana University Library Bloomington, Indiana

State University of Iowa Library Iowa City, Iowa

Director, East Asian Institute Columbia University 433 West 117th Street New York 27, N. Y.

University of San Francisco
San Francisco 17, California

Librarian, School of Oriental and African Studies University of London London, W.C. 1, England

Institute for Asian Studies Marquette University Milwaukee 3, Wisconsin

University of Michigan Library Ann Arbor, Michigan

Michigan State University Library East Lansing, Michigan

JPRS: 4240

GSO: 1118-5/6

## ATHEISTIC NOTIONS CONVEYED IN BUDDHIST SCRIPTURES (JOTTINGS TAKEN IN STUDYING)

## - COMMUNIST CHINA -

(Following is the translation of an article written by Chin Ai, in <u>Helen-tal Fo-haueh</u> (Modern Buadhism), Peiping, No. 5, 1960, pages 25-27.]

In Buddhist teachings, there is no God (the creator of the universe), pur is there a collection of classics implying the will of God. Virtue is based on good or evil deeds. Those who believe in God regard virtue as obedience to God's will: what God bids to be done is good and what he forbids is evil. In this respect, the Buddhist view is different. Buddhism differentiates good and evil on the basis of the "people's interests and the people's happiness". (Hei-ling's translation: "Buddhist Dialectics" in "Essening Translations", No. 3, 1957).

The above quotation is taken from the writing of a Hindu scholar, "La-hu-erh, Sang-k'o-li-t'e-ya-yang"

[transliteration of Chinase]. These words were written in the first few lines if his essay on "Buddhist Dialsctics". If Buddhism here refers to the early stage of Buddhism, saying that Buddhist teaching never involves the idea of a creator of the universe, Buddhism might have attracted more followers then. A member of the Soviet Academy of Sciences, Shcherbatskoy, a noted scholar on Hindu Buddhism agrees with this view. He says: "An early Buddhist analysis discovered that the world is made up of countless floating elements, including all that we see, hear, smell, taste, and touch on the one hand, and on the other hand, includes all those feelings that accompany our smotions, views, and will, no matter whether they are good or evil. But generally speaking, there is no soul, no Goá, no metter, that is to say, no permanent reality". (Yu Yu, "Buddbist Pailosophy" in Modern Buddhism, No. 2, 1959. Same reference for the following quotations from Shererbatekov).

As to the non-existence of God, we must undoubtedly agree that Shcherbatskoy is correct in his judgment
Indeed, it was so. In the early Buddhist classics, even
such expressions as "the rivers and the earth exist only
in our mind", symbolizing the creator or pantheistic
ideas, were very hard to find. But there were many

passages refuting the theory of a God in heaven (creator). Even in the later stage of Buddhism, Sheherbatskoy says, "It abandons the idea of the human Buddha, which, in the lifeless nirvana, is being substituted by a spiritual. Buddha"... "Buddha is different from man. Under the name of the highest being, it becomes the real ruler, but he is not the creator". This shows no matter how great was the difference between early Buddhism and later Buddhism, they had one basic agreement, that there was no creator.

Buddhist beaching developed to the period of the Yogacara School, the creator idea was substituted by the "right concentration" concept (see below). The Yogacara achorates believed that the "right concentration" is the general source of every one who has an external world and an internal mind, and without it, nothing exists. It divides all elements in this world, the subjective consciousness and the objective resilization of the elements reflecting in the mind, into two groups, the darsana and the laksana. These two groups are "transformed by ideation". In Hiuon Tsiang's translation of the "50 Odes of Ideation", it says "The transformation made by the eight paths, the differentiation sade by differentiation, all point to non-existence, therefore, all elements of existence are more

ideations".

In Hiven Tsiang's translation of the "Wei-shih"
Theory, Volume VII, the above ode is explained as follows" [In its original form the following passage is in
abstruce Buddhist terminology; only its general meaning
is given here].

"It is said, the triad can transform the eight paths (right views, right intention, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness and right concentration) and what is in the mind into something like the darsana and the laksana. That is, all elements are nothing but ideas and perceptions, which are merely in name and have no actual existence. So the world is void".

on the mind. They reflect the realities in the external world, which, as far as "right concentration" is concerned, is the effect of perception, because everything comes from the "right concentration". Consequently, Sheherbatskoy's analysis is correct. He says: "Just like the supposition made by European rationalists, it is possible that all elements are infinitely included in God's wisdom. Through selection, God gives these elements to the world—a limited number of things. In Buddhism the

idea is the same except God's wisdom is substituted by the "right concentration" and the will by "right mind-fulness."

the name used in the creator's stead, we would rather say that every creature that has life is a creator, because every creature has his own "right concentration". But in transforming the elements, the "right concentration" must have certain conditions, the cause and effect.

Therefore, the Yegacara scholars, unfer the premise that all elements are the effects of various causes, refuted the theory of a heavenly creator. In Volume VII of the "Teachings of Yegacara School", the author gives an analysis of the origin of the heavy that a heavenly creator created the world. He says:

desires in this world of cause and effect, when he wants to do something good in 'his and id and he gets not good but evil, when he cannot observe his good desires and when he wishes to acquire nomething pleasant and he gets pain instead, all these reasons force him to conclude that there must be a creator, a transformer, a father of all the elements in the world, because this being has power greater than that of man".

Here the author points cut that it is not the "creator" that creates the world, but man creates the "creator". The reason for this is that man creates a "creator" when he wants to do or get something in this world, and when it cannot be done in accordance with his wish and when he cannot understand why there are pleasure and pain, good and evil in the world, then he imagines that there must be a "father of all elements" in heaven.

After the Yeggsar scholars shalyzed the origin of the heavenly creator theory, they began to refute this erronous theory. They presented four arguments to contradict it:

1. When the deevenly creator created the world, did he rely on action?

"If action is the cause for creation, only God has action for cause to create any thing, while the rest of the world cannot have action as a cause. This is unreasonable".

2. If God created the world, did he belong to the world or out and above the world?

"If God belongs to this world, how can be create it? If he does not belong to this world, he is liberated (in the sense of void) and how can be created the world?"

3. Did God create the world to satisfy his wants?

"God is a non-being, while the world is a reality, how can a non-being make, use of a reality? If we say God does not want to make use of the world, why should be create it then?

"If God is the element from which the world is created, there would be endless worlds created, because God is there constantly. If God uses other elements to create the world, how can be use these elements of the world before he even creates ther? God is non-existent while the world is existing, how can a non-existent create something existing?"

These arguments are very formatule and are worthy of further study. The Brahman theory of a greator can be refuted by the theory of seuse and effect.

book originally in Sanskrit) Theory also contains arguments disputing the evictors of a heavenly preator. In its Volume VII, an analysis says that all elements are created under four conditions (four causes give rise to all elements). In stresses the contradiction against the errorous theory of a heavenly creator. These arguments are similar to those outlined in the above. There is no need for repetition, but, the following short

passage is sufficient to show its reasoning:

Mafter God created the world, what benefit does he get? If God creates the world because he likes it, he must wait until the world is created before he can like it. This is not so. Or, if God creates hell with all its pains for the purpose of persecuting man, and if he derives pleasure out of this, what kind of a God is he, why should we have him?!"